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Instructor Evaluated: Nicole Hamilton-Lecturer
Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

| Median | College Decile |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.7 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| (0=lowest; $5=$ highest $)$ | (0=lowest; $9=$ highest $)$ |

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several IASystem items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

## CEI: 6.6

(1=lowest; 7=highest)

## SUMMATIVE ITEMS

|  | N | Excellent <br> (5) | Very Good (4) | Good (3) | Fair <br> (2) | Poor (1) | Very Poor <br> (0) | Median | DECILE RANK Inst College |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The course as a whole was: | 4 | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | 6 | 7 |
| The course content was: | 4 | 50\% | 25\% | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.5 | 7 | 7 |
| The instructor's contribution to the course was: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |
| The instructor's effectiveness in teaching the subject matter was: | 4 | 75\% |  | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |

## STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

| Relative to other college courses you have taken: | $\mathrm{N} \quad \begin{gathered} \text { Much } \\ \text { Higher } \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ |  | (6) | $\begin{array}{cc}  & \text { Average } \\ \text { (5) } & \end{array}$ |  | (3) | Much(2)Lower(1) |  | Median | DECILE RANK Inst College |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you expect your grade in this course to be: | 4 | 25\% |  | 50\% | 25\% |  |  |  | 5.0 | 3 | 5 |
| The intellectual challenge presented was: | 4 | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 | 9 | 8 |
| The amount of effort you put into this course was: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 | 9 | 9 |
| The amount of effort to succeed in this course was: | 4 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 9 | 9 |
| Your involvement in course (doing assignments, attending classes, etc.) was: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 | 9 | 9 |

On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course,
Class median: 8.5 Hours per credit: $2.8 \quad(\mathrm{~N}=4)$ including attending classes, doing readings, reviewing notes, writing papers and any other course related work?


COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

## STANDARD FORMATIVE ITEMS

|  | N | Excellent <br> (5) | Very Good (4) | Good (3) | Fair (2) | Poor (1) | Very Poor (0) | Median | DECILE RANK Inst College |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course organization was: | 4 | 75\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  | 4.8 | 9 | 9 |
| Clarity of instructor's voice was: | 4 | 75\% |  | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |
| Explanations by instructor were: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |
| Instructor's ability to present alternative explanations when needed was: | 4 | 50\% | 25\% | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.5 | 5 | 6 |
| Instructor's use of examples and illustrations was: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |
| Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |
| Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was: | 4 | 50\% | 25\% |  | 25\% |  |  | 4.5 | 3 | 4 |
| Instructor's enthusiasm was: | 4 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 9 | 9 |
| Encouragement given students to express themselves was: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 7 | 8 |
| Answers to student questions were: | 4 | 75\% |  | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |
| Availability of extra help when needed was: | 4 | 75\% |  | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |
| Use of class time was: | 4 | 50\% | 25\% | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.5 | 6 | 6 |
| Instructor's interest in whether students learned was: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 8 | 8 |
| Amount you learned in the course was: | 4 | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | 6 | 7 |
| Relevance and usefulness of course content were: | 4 | 50\% | 25\% | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.5 | 6 | 6 |
| Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were: | 4 | 75\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 9 | 8 |
| Reasonableness of assigned work was: | 4 | 75\% |  | 25\% |  |  |  | 4.8 | 9 | 8 |
| Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was: | 4 | 75\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  | 4.8 | 9 | 8 |
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## STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

## Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

1. It was a very interesting project and I got a lot of experience making engineering-related decisions for parts. I also learned how to use EAGLE and how to order a processional PCB, and am going to learn how to surface-mount parts.
2. It wa like the final project of the 425 but much more intellextually challenging. Making things is always fun.
3. Yes a lot of new concepts and ideas.
4. Yes, the whole project was challenging.

## What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

1. Programming and Verilog work were more valuable to me than the PCB aspect.
2. The help from the instructor and the explanations.
3. Having good teammate(s) and advisor.

## What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

1. It seemed that students were not very well-prepared for actual programming and HDL design before doing the Capstone. This is external to the class, but it significantly affected the project.
2. I honestly dont want to recommend students doing capstone project on a subject that is 2 nd srage of previous groups.
3. Little confused on using soft ware that was too familiar
4. There was no good references I could find online and I don't have a strong base software knowledge.

## What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

1. Students should be encouraged to learn new skills, probably.
2. I feel that we didnt get any help from the industry helper eric.
3. Nothing.
4. I have an impression that our team relied on you and Daniel too much, especially Daniel. I hope you can do something about it if the next team feels the same way. Anyways, Thank you Nicole.
