

COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Numeric Responses

Univ. of Washington, Bothell Engineering and Mathematics

Term: Summer 2016

B EE 496 B Capstone Project In Electrical Engineering II

Course type: Face-to-Face

Taught by: Nicole Hamilton

Instructor Evaluated: Nicole Hamilton-Lecturer

Evaluation Delivery: Online Evaluation Form: A

Responses: 4/4 (100%)

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Median College Decile 4.7 (0=lowest; 5=highest) (0=lowest; 9=highest)

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several IASystem items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 6.6 (1=lowest; 7=highest)

SUMMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median		LE RANK College
The course as a whole was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	6	7
The course content was:	4	50%	25%	25%				4.5	7	7
The instructor's contribution to the course was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	8	8
The instructor's effectiveness in teaching the subject matter was:	4	75%		25%				4.8	8	8

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

STODEN	II LIVGAC	A LIVILIA I															
Relative	to other o	college c	ourses you	ı have tak	en:		N	Much Higher (7)	(6)	(5)	Average (4)	(3)	(2)	Much Lower (1)	Median		LE RANK College
Do you e	xpect your	grade in	this course	to be:			4	25%		50%	25%				5.0	3	5
The intellectual challenge presented was:						4	50%	50%						6.5	9	8	
The amount of effort you put into this course was:						4	75%	25%						6.8	9	9	
The amo	ne amount of effort to succeed in this course was:						4	100%							7.0	9	9
Your invo		course (doing assig	ınments, at	ttending cla	asses,	4	75%	25%						6.8	9	9
On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course, including attending classes, doing readings, reviewing notes, writing papers and any other course related work? Class median: 8.5 Hours per credit: 2.8 (N=4)													B (N=4)				
Under 2	2-3		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-13		14-15	16	-17	18-	19	20-21	22	or more
			25%	25%		25%				25%							
	total avera	0	above, ho ducation?	w many do	you cons	ider were					Class	media	an: 6.5	Hours	per cred	lit: 2.2	2 (N=4)
Under 2	2-3 25%		4-5	6-7 50%	8-9 25%	10-11		12-13		14-15	16	-17	18-	-19	20-21	22	or more
What gra	de do you	expect in	this course	e?										Cla	ss media	an: 3.8	8 (N=4)
A (3.9-4.0) 50%	A- (3.5-3.8) 25%	B+ (3.2-3.4)	B (2.9-3.1) 25%	B- (2.5-2.8)	C+ (2.2-2.4)	C (1.9-2.1)	C- (1.5-1		D+ .2-1.4)	D (0.9-1.1	D- 1) (0.7-		E (0.0)	Pas	s Cre	edit	No Credit
In regard	to your ac	ademic p	rogram, is	this course	e best desc	cribed as:											(N=4)
A core/distribution In your major requirement 75% 25%		An	ı elective	In your mino			inor	Арі	A program requirement				Other				



COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Numeric Responses

Univ. of Washington, Bothell Engineering and Mathematics Term: Summer 2016

STANDARD FORMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median		LE RANK College
Course organization was:	4	75%			25%			4.8	9	9
Clarity of instructor's voice was:	4	75%		25%				4.8	8	8
Explanations by instructor were:	4	75%	25%					4.8	8	8
Instructor's ability to present alternative explanations when needed was:	4	50%	25%	25%				4.5	5	6
Instructor's use of examples and illustrations was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	8	8
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	8	8
Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	4	50%	25%		25%			4.5	3	4
Instructor's enthusiasm was:	4	100%						5.0	9	9
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	7	8
Answers to student questions were:	4	75%		25%				4.8	8	8
Availability of extra help when needed was:	4	75%		25%				4.8	8	8
Use of class time was:	4	50%	25%	25%				4.5	6	6
Instructor's interest in whether students learned was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	8	8
Amount you learned in the course was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	6	7
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	4	50%	25%	25%				4.5	6	6
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:	4	75%	25%					4.8	9	8
Reasonableness of assigned work was:	4	75%		25%				4.8	9	8
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	4	75%			25%			4.8	9	8



COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Student Comments

Univ. of Washington, Bothell Engineering and Mathematics Term: Summer 2016

Evaluation Delivery: Online Evaluation Form: A

Responses: 4/4 (100%)

B EE 496 B Capstone Project In Electrical Engineering II

Course type: Face-to-Face
Taught by: Nicole Hamilton

Instructor Evaluated: Nicole Hamilton-Lecturer

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

- 1. It was a very interesting project and I got a lot of experience making engineering-related decisions for parts. I also learned how to use EAGLE and how to order a processional PCB, and am going to learn how to surface-mount parts.
- 2. It wa like the final project of the 425 but much more intellextually challenging. Making things is always fun.
- 3. Yes a lot of new concepts and ideas.
- 4. Yes, the whole project was challenging.

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

- 1. Programming and Verilog work were more valuable to me than the PCB aspect.
- 3. The help from the instructor and the explanations.
- 4. Having good teammate(s) and advisor.

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

- 1. It seemed that students were not very well-prepared for actual programming and HDL design before doing the Capstone. This is external to the class, but it significantly affected the project.
- 2. I honestly dont want to recommend students doing capstone project on a subject that is 2nd srage of previous groups.
- 3. Little confused on using soft ware that was too familiar
- 4. There was no good references I could find online and I don't have a strong base software knowledge.

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

- 1. Students should be encouraged to learn new skills, probably.
- 2. I feel that we didnt get any help from the industry helper eric.
- 3. Nothing.
- 4. I have an impression that our team relied on you and Daniel too much, especially Daniel. I hope you can do something about it if the next team feels the same way. Anyways, Thank you Nicole.

© 2014, IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 14604